

FEEDBACK OF STAKEHOLDERS ON CURRICULUM

TEACHERS YEAR 2021-22

| Name of Teacher |
|---|
| Dr Sarika Chouhan |
| |
| Employee ID |
| VSIT0278 |
| |
| Department |
| |
| B.Sc IT ▼ |
| |
| TEACHER'S FEEDBACK |
| Rate the relevance of curriculum to the recent industrial developments. |
| Excellent |
| Good |
| Satisfactory |
| O Not satisfactory |
| Poor |
| |

| Rate the usefulness of course content for enhancing analytical and technical skills of the students. |
|---|
| Excellent |
| Good |
| Satisfactory |
| Not satisfactory |
| Poor |
| |
| Rate the usefulness of course content to students for pursuing higher studies. |
| Excellent |
| Good |
| Satisfactory |
| O Not satisfactory |
| Poor |
| |
| Rate the usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware, software skills to cope up with advanced tools in the industry. |
| Excellent |
| Good |
| Satisfactory |
| O Not satisfactory |
| Poor |
| |

| Rate the extra-curricular environment provided by the institute for holistic development of the students. |
|---|
| Excellent |
| Good |
| Satisfactory |
| O Not satisfactory |
| Poor |
| |
| Rate the institutional support for conducting beyond syllabus activity. |
| Excellent |
| Good |
| Satisfactory |
| O Not satisfactory |
| Poor |
| |
| Rate the institutional support for conducting value added courses (VAC). |
| Excellent |
| Good |
| Satisfactory |
| O Not satisfactory |
| Poor |
| |

| Rate the use of ICT tools for efficient curriculum delivery. |
|--|
| Excellent |
| Good |
| Satisfactory |
| Not satisfactory |
| Poor |
| |
| Rate the use of infrastructure facility for knowledge dissemination. |
| Excellent |
| Good |
| Satisfactory |
| Not satisfactory |
| Poor |
| |
| Any other suggestions, comments, improvements. |

University revise the Course curriculum every five years and is as per recent industrial developments

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms



Dakellea.

Principal